## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION National Highway Traffic Safety Administration [Docket No. NHTSA-2015-0075; Notice 1] PACCAR, Inc., Receipt of Petition for

Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA),

Department of Transportation (DOT)

**ACTION:** Receipt of Petition

SUMMARY: PACCAR, Inc. (PACCAR), has determined that certain

Peterbilt and Kenworth trucks do not fully comply with paragraph

S9.3.2 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 108,

Lamps, Reflective devices, and Associated Equipment. PACCAR

filed an appropriate report dated June 12, 2015 pursuant to 49

CFR Part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and

Reports on June 11, 2015 and revised that report on June 12,

2015.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE <u>FEDERAL</u> <u>REGISTER</u>].

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer

to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and submitted by any of the following methods:

- Mail: Send comments by mail addressed to: U.S.
   Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30,
   West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New
   Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Deliver: Deliver comments by hand to: U.S.
   Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30,
   West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New
   Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket
   Section is open on weekdays from 10 am to 5 pm except
   Federal Holidays.
- Electronically: Submit comments electronically by:
  logging onto the Federal Docket Management System
  (FDMS) website at http://www.regulations.gov/. Follow
  the online instructions for submitting comments.
  Comments may also be faxed to (202) 493-2251.

Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will

be posted without change to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, including any personal information provided.

Documents submitted to a docket may be viewed by anyone at the address and times given above. The documents may also be viewed on the Internet at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> by following the online instructions for accessing the dockets.

DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement is available for review in the <a href="federal Register">Federal Register</a> published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19477-78).

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be filed and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will be published in the <a href="Federal Register">Federal Register</a> pursuant to the authority indicated below.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview: Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing rule at 49 CFR Part 556), PACCAR submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of PACCAR's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

- II. Trucks Involved: Affected are approximately 197 MY 2015-2016 Kenworth K270 and K370 manufactured between November 11, 2014 and March 18, 2015 and MY 2015-2016 Peterbilt 220 manufactured between November 10, 2014 and March 18, 2015.
- III. Noncompliance: PACCAR explains that due to a programming error in the cab controller software in the subject trucks, the turn signal pilot indicator located on the instrument panel, flashes twice as fast as the turn signals flash and therefore do not meet the requirements of paragraph S9.3.2 of FMVSS No. 108.
- IV. Rule Text: Paragraph S9.3.2 of FMVSS No. 108 requires in pertinent part:
  - S9.3.2 The indicator must consist of one or more lights flashing at the same frequency as the turn signal lamps.
- V. Summary of PACCAR's Position: PACCAR stated its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. PACCAR states that the purpose of the turn signal pilot indicator is to assure that the vehicle operator can determine whether the turn signal system is activated. Thus, PACCAR believes that the pilot indicators in the subject trucks fully accomplishes that purpose; i.e., they flash when the turn signal

is activated, and they cease flashing when the turn signal is deactivated (either manually or automatically).

PACCAR reviewed the agency's decisions on petitions for inconsequentiality in connection with various noncompliances with turn signal requirements. While PACCAR did not find any prior decisions that are similar to this noncompliance, PACCAR believes that NHTSA has granted previous petitions in connection with turn signal noncompliances that carried potentially greater safety risks.

PACCAR is not aware of any crashes or injuries associated with the noncompliance and it has not received any consumer complaints or warranty claims related to this issue.

PACCAR additionally informed NHTSA that after the noncompliance was discovered, all production of the noncompliant trucks in PACCAR's possession was put on hold until the software error can be corrected.

In summation, PACCAR believes that the described noncompliance of the subject trucks is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt PACCAR from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120 should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file

petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the subject trucks that PACCAR no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve equipment distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant trucks under their control after PACCAR notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

**Authority:** (49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120: delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Jeffrey Giuseppe, Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

Billing Code: 4910-59-P

[FR Doc. 2015-24454 Filed: 9/24/2015 08:45 am; Publication Date: 9/25/2015]